

Volume 24 Number 10 November 14, 1985

LAMBDA

Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario

exams

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				


WAR

&

p e a c e




Editorial Page



Picket Line Tensions



PROFESSOR ORIALLI



Yam's '85 Lambda

GOODBYE TO ALL MY SGA FRIENDS

Well folks, the LUSA strike is moving into its third week and as yet it appears that the two sides are just as far apart as they were to begin with. As a student I'm beginning to feel somewhat miffed over the whole issue and my former patient pacifism is quickly evaporating. In fact, I find that I'm becoming downright snarly over the whole issue. I've reached the point where I am going to make a statement which will probably earn me the enduring enmity of the SGA, and put me on the student activist hit list for good. I feel LUSA should get off its proverbial rear end and settle this strike once and for all!

Recent data from the university's administration illustrates that the package offered to LUSA is comparable with both regional and provincial working conditions. Granted this does not mean that the norm is the best of all possibilities, however, severe underfunding is forcing educational facilities everywhere to assess their priorities.

A university is firstly and foremostly an educational institution. It's students and the quality of their education **should** be its major concern, yet there is not enough money to fund this properly. There is not enough money to bring existing facilities up to their optimal


standards, let alone build some new ones which are badly needed. There is not enough money to fund the purchase of technical equipment (wouldn't a few more computers be nice). There is not enough money provided for student aid. There is not enough money **period!**

Many LUSA members are part of two-income families and can therefore afford to remain on strike for a longer period of time than they normally would. They have benefits such as a six-month sick leave with pay, a three week vacation after only one year, and other advantages that can be found under

LATEST LAURENTIAN OFFER REJECTED by Yannis Souris.

In the meantime, students have barely enough to live on themselves must sit by and be subjected to cancelled labs (all science labs are cancelled as teaching assistants belong to LUSA), and the prospect of another downfall in the quality of education as money for LUSA is diverted from other funds. So we sit, watch, are subjected to student propaganda, and face that age-old problem of not enough money.

Katherine Whitfield



Lambda Publications is the student-operated newspaper of Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario. Lambda is funded through a direct student levy by members of the Students' General Association and is autonomous from all University organizations, both student and administrative.

Lambda is published weekly each Thursday during the academic year, save holidays. 3,000 copies are printed and distributed around the Laurentian campus.

Lambda Publications is a member of the Canadian University Press. As such, it is democratically controlled by its staff. Staff meetings are held every week in the Lambda office, Room G-1 Students' Street. Membership is open to all members of the Laurentian University community and is contingent upon three published contributions during the fall term, or five published contributions during the academic year.

The opinions expressed in the copy of this newspaper are not necessarily those of Lambda Publications.

Letters and submissions must be double-spaced and should be typed. Illegible copy will not be accepted.

Anonymity is available upon request, but all submissions and advertising must be in the Lambda office on the Friday (no later than 5:00pm) prior to the Thursday of desired publication. Submissions are to be made personally to the Lambda office, and may be accepted late, provided that Lambda is notified beforehand.

The Lambda offices are open Monday to Friday, from 9:00am to 5:30pm, and at other irregular hours. Members of the Laurentian community are encouraged to drop in to Lambda with their encouragement, ideas, suggestions, or submissions, or just for a friendly visit.

Editor	Yannis Souris
Production Managers (temporary)	Julian Daniel
Business Manager	Robb Risto
Circulation Manager	Joanne Rhude
Entertainment Editor	Lorie Cunningham
Features Editor	Harikla Vlahos
News Editor	Katherine Whitfield
Photo Editor	Diana Competrini
Sports Editors	Bruce Hennessy
	Lisa Tombalakian
	Julian Daniel
	Karen Ryckman
	Roberta Zorzi
Typesetters	

Thanks to all the people who helped put out this week's issue.

Letters To The Editor

Dear Editor,

I am a handicapped student, confined to an electric wheelchair, currently enrolled in the Commerce programme. I must first give thanks to all students for their help in opening doors for me. I would also like to give thanks to the university administration, staff and professors for their thoughtfulness and help. I wrote this letter not only to give thanks, but to make people aware of some of the accessibility problems that plague this university.

The problem consists of getting to and from the Classroom and Fraser buildings. A tunnel, connecting these two buildings, is used by students for transfer between these two buildings however, I am unable to get to this tunnel. The Classroom elevator does not descend to that level. (Many administrative personnel still believe I can use the tunnel. To set the record straight, No! Mr. Mehers! I can't use the tunnel!) In other words, there is no way I can get to and from the Classroom to the Fraser building without going outdoors. In the summer and spring, this is no problem however, in the winter, this is impossible with an electric wheelchair. To top it off were I able to go outdoors in the winter, there is only

one ramp leaving the Fraser building, but no ramp entering the Classroom building. In other words I must circle the Classroom building to enter the Fraser building, while other students can cross the short distance or use the tunnel.

To solve this problem, all of my classes must be in the same building; either in the Fraser or in the Classroom building. Luckily, all classes in first year Commerce are held in the Classroom building.


This year was different, all my classes were spread out between these two buildings. Being bilingual helped in forging myself a schedule with classes mostly in the Classroom building still, changes were required so that I could follow all of my classes in one building. These changes were kindly made. As most students know, the first week of classes brings all sorts of classroom changes. Well, my original schedule was then all altered. More changes were needed to get all my classes in one building. These changes were urgent for myself because of the inherent difficulties in getting to and from these two buildings. A further two weeks of red tape and hassles followed, then the courses were finally changed. I thought a few days could pass

before these changes could be made, but two weeks! Regarding my reason for these classroom changes, I believe these changes should have been made much faster.

All I am asking for is access to the tunnel. If this is not possible, perhaps an overhead pass, such as the one connecting the Library tower to the Arts building, could be built to connect the Fraser to the Classroom building. If these physical alterations cannot be done, the administration could easily change classes all to one building without all the red tape which I had to put up with to get these classes changed to the proper buildings. I believe I should have priority for class changes, because it is not my fault that there is no access given between these two buildings.

I hope this letter gives some insights to some of the accessibility problems that exist at Laurentian. I also hope that changes will be made to clear my road for the third and fourth years in my programme, and help clear the road for future handicapped individuals who will enter Laurentian.

Marc St-Laurent



Latest Laurentian Offer Rejected

By Yannis Souris

LUSA strikers have entered their third week on the picket lines without having succeeded in reaching an agreement with the University's Administration to end the strike.

The latest Laurentian offer is 12.5 per cent over two years, a news release from the Communications Office says. This offer was made on October 27.

Under the new offer, the average LUSA employee salary is \$19,992. for the 1985-1986 academic year. The release states that the average salary was \$18,728. in June 1985.

Also, any outstanding internal inequities in positions there would be addressed by the implementation of the job evaluation programme and monies are set aside for this purpose, the Administration said.

Last week, the Staff and the Administration negotiating teams met again, but Mr. Ray Illing, Director of the Ministry of Labour's Mediation and Conciliation Services ruled that LUSA and the University are too far apart to appoint a mediator to help resolve the dispute.

The University says that the sup-

port staff has the lowest hours in the region; thirty-three and three-quarters hours a week.

Both Administration and LUSA want a new evaluation programme that would be acceptable by the two sides. The University claims that the new evaluation system they propose is included in the offer and gives the employees more say in job evaluation and classification than the majority of collective agreements for a group comparable to LUSA.

The University says that the whole offer is in accordance with the recently released provincial budget. Ontario Treasurer, Robert Nixon stated that the basic transfer payments to universities will increase by 4 percent in 1986-87 and by another 4 percent in 1987-88.

The new proposal for a new contract with LUSA deserves serious study by the members of the Association, Administration sources said. The university believes that everyone involved in the negotiations be well informed about the salary and job evaluation, the university stated in a press release issued last Friday.



LUSA President Ginette Pageau talking to fellow strikers at the picket lines.

Photo by John Tsagoroulis

Letters To The Editor

Dear Editor,

I fear that Mr. J. Alan Wells is sadly mistaken if he believes that declaring Sudbury as a Nuclear Weapons Free Zone exempts it as a target for a nuclear strike! Don't get me wrong for I have a great deal of respect for Mr. Wells' other beliefs and what he stands for which includes a world of peace free of nuclear weapons and I'm proud of the attitude of Canadians in support of this goal, but I can't see this happening by the NWFZ concept. Unfortunately there exists so much mistrust between the governing forces of existing nuclear weapons countries that I feel that none could agree on NWFZ areas and the abandonment of their nuclear arsenals without the fear that the others would renege on the deal or that some nut case like Khaddafi would acquire such weapon(s) and not be afraid to use them. Besides in a no holds barred nuclear confrontation, no amount of NWFZs is going to stop the superpowers from blowing the others (including their NWFZs) away, knowing the others will return the favour.

I don't know who Mr. Wells et al are trying to convince, but I hope its the Soviet Union. The Americans won't disarm until the Soviets do and vice versa. Western governments can be bullied to some extent with the threat of being voted out of office but the Soviets cannot, and the new concept will not convince the Soviets to disarm. Making Canada, too, a NWFZ will not prevent nuclear weapon carrying craft of any nationality from passing under our waters, as there is no way we can enforce that except by building nuclear attack submarines of our own which I'm sure Project Ploughshares would also be against.

I may not be as eloquent as people like Mr. Wells, but I hope people on Nov. 12 didn't vote in support of the NWFZ concept because they believed it would make them secure from a nuclear strike, for I feel that there will always be at least one warhead with Sudbury's name on it.

Art Brown

Dear Editor,

Who was that gutless wonder who arrived at our strike ridden campus in a chauffeur driven Police escorted car? A car automobile seems more

appropriate! It is rumoured that it was our fair President Daniel. The one who is highly visible and available to the students, staff and faculty except at negotiation time. How many students have met our President or even seen him—and that is not including his own offspring? President Daniel, you, on Saturday, November 9, 1985 arrived for Convocation as a Moviestar arrives at a Gala-ala entourage! Who were you protecting yourself from, the picketers? It is time that you come down from your Ivory Tower and walked amongst (with) the working people and the working people's offspring. We, as students, are the one's who pay your wage—however fair that may be. It was a blatant display of arrogance and waste. The joke is over and it's on you! An ethical and educated man would have been better.

Name Withheld.

Dear Editor,

In the recent editions of Lambda, November 7 and October 24, 1985, articles were printed about the Married Student and Single Student Residences which project unfair views of the tenants and the residence.

In the November 7th edition, referring to the Married Student Residence article in letters to the editor on page two, I would be most pleased to meet and discuss with that individual the many inaccuracies in that article.

As of the printing of that article, not one false fire alarm has been set in the M.S.R. building during this academic year and no fire alarm glass covers had been broken. It is my understanding, however, that on Thursday, November 7, only 10 hours after this article appeared in Lambda all the fire alarm glass covers except those on the 10th & 11th floors have been smashed. Coincidentally done after the article had been printed.

Is this individual who remains nameless accusing the M.S.R. tenants as creating and living in a "pig-pen or ghetto" atmosphere and being vandals?

This article also mentions that a tenant association should be established. There presently exists an active M.S.R. tenant association with which we have not as yet had any consultation for any upcoming rent increases.

With regard to the October 24, 1985 article on page five by Barry Schmidl it should be noted that the photograph alongside the article was never from any washrooms in the Married Student, Single Student or University College Residence complex. The photograph I understand is several years old.

If I am correct in reading these articles, students are asking that additional controls be enforced within the residence complex. Therefore during the next U.C.R. and S.S.R. Dons meeting the M.S.R. tenant association will be invited to discuss if any additional controls are needed.

Romeo Bertoli
Director of Services

Dear Editor,

It was with great sadness and a certain degree of anger that the students of Laurentian University learned that the all-candidates debate had been cancelled only two hours before the event, Monday, November 4th, 1985. Two of the candidates, Peter Wong and Ted Szilva informed the AEF office of their decision at about 1:20 p.m. explaining that it would be "impossible for them to cross the L.U.S.A. picket line". Although Diane Marleau offered to help in finding another location for the debate it was too late for Robert Niesing, Vice-president of the AEF to re-organize the event upon such short notice.

Indeed it was he who had initially thought of the idea of holding the debate and was the driving force behind the organization of the event. Since the election is too close at hand to organize another debate, Laurentian students will not be able to present their views to the local candidates, at least not at a student-sponsored debate. However, the efforts of those who tried to hold this event should not be forgotten. Special thanks go to Robert Niesing for his efforts, and to the SGA who agreed to provide coffee and donuts for the event.

L'équipe de l'Élan

To The Editor:

I was away when the Lambda came out last week, and didn't see it until today (Tuesday). I was shocked and angered to read Mr. Souris' editorial on the cancelled "All-Candidates' Session". When I sent a media advisors on this subject two weeks

Book by Laurentian prof a hit

By Katherine Whitfield

A book written by one of Laurentian's own faculty has reached international sales and is building quite a name for its author.

Economics professor, Dr. Byron Eastman's **INTERPRETING MATHEMATICAL ECONOMICS AND ECONOMETRICS** was released in February of 1985. Within its first month of circulation over 1200 copies were sold. Since then, it has reached international distribution to countries such as the United States, Africa, Japan, and Ireland.

A Laurentian news release describes the book as "written for those who fear mathematics, and the author's clear and uncomplicated approach makes it the ideal introductory handbook for those who progress

in economics is inhibited by such a fear."

Although the book is written in the context of economics it is applicable to any area that requires mathematical research or interpretations. No training in calculus or other specialized fields of mathematics is needed to understand the book. It is relevant to all students.

Dr. Eastman states that this is the book he searched for in vain when first confronted with the terrifying requirement that he must understand the meaning of 'partial differentials' and 'partial regression coefficients'.

Any student can pick up this book at the L.U. Bookstore. Prices are \$12. for the paper-back version and \$38. for the hard-cover.

Interpreting Mathematical Economics and Econometrics

Byron D. Eastman
Laurentian University

M
MACMILLAN

ago, it listed AEF ahead of SGA in the list of organizations involved. I did this for a reason. Robert Niesing of AEF was the main organizer of this session. I did almost nothing, except contact some organizations and the media about the event.

I find it hard to believe that I told Lambda that this event was organized by me, and by SGA. If I did give this impression, I sincerely apologize to Bob, and to AEF. I only hope that AEF is not so enraged at this time as to not accept my apology.

Again, I hope that I did not give this impression, but neither am I quick to blame Lambda. If their editorial policy is to cover only SGA matters, so be it. I absolutely do not agree with it, but then, SGA no longer

sets Lambda's policy.

Again, if the fault is mine, I feel that I cannot apologize too much to Robert Niesing. He did an excellent job of organizing the session, and deserves full credit.

Yours [AEF's, SGA's, and Lambda's]
In Solidarity
Dave Loan

Editor's Note:

It is this reporter's understanding that Dave Loan stated that SGA was in charge of the election forum. I didn't know that AEF was involved as well, and was entirely in charge of the event. My apologies to Mr. Loan and generally to SGA and to AEF.

Anti-Nukes Flood SDI Organization With Outrageous Proposals

VANCOUVER [CUP]

Students from the University of B.C., Simon Fraser University and Langara College have joined forces to form the Student's Committee to Assist Military Madness (SCAMM). SCAMM is sponsoring a contest aimed at supplying "innovative and even outrageous" abstracts to the SDIO.

Because the SDIO would like to get researchers involved as quickly and easily as possible in Star Wars, they are initially sparing researchers "the laborious task of preparing a formal proposal". Instead, they are soliciting short proposals called "white papers." "It's a perfect opportunity for us. They're begging for ridicule," said Mark Fettes, a SCAMM organizer at UBC.

The idea of the contest was started by the November 11th Committee at Cornell University in response to the SDIO program.

In May this year, representatives from 150 U.S. universities attended an SDIO briefing in Washington, where U.S. Secretary of Defense officials outlined how to apply for SDI research funding, and asking for 10-page "white papers."

Cornell administrators who'd attended the briefing in turn briefed

Cornell academics on how to apply for funding.

Responding to concern over the program, Lisbeth Gronlund, a graduate student in physics at Cornell, co-wrote a pledge listing concern and committing researchers not to work on SDI. So far 98 U.S. universities are circulating or have said they will circulate the pledge.

At Cornell, more than one half of physical sciences and engineering professors have signed the pledge.

Gronlund is also an organizer of SCAMM. She said the contest is to "point out the absurdity of this whole thing."

"This new program is part of SDIO's advertising budget," she said. "They are trying to turn universities into lobbyists."

"As well as trying to get the best researchers to work on their problem, they are also trying to sell the program to the public and Congress by getting universities involved."

A sample abstract proposes the development of Various Efficient Growth Enhancement Techniques Applied to Beating Leftist Enemies (VEGETABLE).

The key weapon is a HEDGE (Highly Effective Defence by Garden-

ing Expertise). By stimulating plants with high-power UV lasers and special chemical fertilizers (Seedling Activation by Lasers and Drugs or SALAD), this system will provide an impenetrable hedge one thousand kilometers high with only five minutes warning.


"This Space Hedge for Removal of Unfriendly Boosters (SHRUB) will be Fatal to Attacking Russian Missiles Attacking any Territory of Ours (TOMATO), thereby freeing us from the threat of Communist Activated Radical Revolution in Our Towns (CARROT)."

Fettes said "it's a novel way of getting the university community to think about Star Wars and its implications."

"Star Wars won't be able to gain momentum once most people have found out that it is scientifically worthless," he said.

Those wishing to submit Potentially Libelous Anihilistic Numb-brained Schemes (PLANS) can write to the Innovative Science and Technology Program, Strategic Defence Initiative Organization, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Washington, D.C. 20301-7100.

Phys-Ed Alumni Sponsor Tour



By Harikla Vlahos

A trip to Greece and the Aegean will be the focus of the Study Tour VI, sponsored by the School of Human Movement, July 4 to 20, 1986.

According to Professor of the School of Human Movement, Bob Rogers, this is the 6th tour. "We began in 1979 and have been very successful since," Rogers said.

For the first time, the Alumni Association will co-sponsor the event. Says Director of Communications Jean Baxter, "The Alumni Association is participating because a great many of other alumnus in other universities have done the same."

Professor Rogers maintains that the participation level has varied from tour to tour. The participation levels range from 13 to 30 people in every tour. "The tours are targeted at all

kinds of people, especially to Laurentian students from physical education. However, we have had students from Queen's, York and Calgary participating," Rogers told Lambda.

The Study Tour will provide opportunities to experience classical Greek culture.

Says Rogers, "The Greece tour will focus on sport and physical education and the origin of the Olympic movement."

Cost of the tour is \$2,550. (Can). "We are not making any money from this endeavour. It is basically a service," Baxter stated.

If the tour is successful, future plans are possible. "We will survey people to see what they find interesting," Rogers said.

SLACK WEEK SKI TRIP TO MT. STE. ANNE

Leaving: Friday, February 14, 1986
Returning: Friday, February 21, 1986
Double Occupancy \$349.00
Triple Occupancy \$319.00

Cost Includes: Hotel, 4 Day Lift Tickets, Return Bus Trip
Additional Hotel Administration cost of \$18.00
Friday, February 14 - Sunday, February 16 - Winter Carnival
Monday, February 17 - Thursday, February 20 - Ski Days

For more information call Laura (J35) at 674-2008. Limit of 40 - 47 people. It is filling up so call soon. Require a \$75. deposit by the end of November.

Post-Budget Observations

By Katherine Whitfield

The new 1986-1987 budget released by the government in October represents a positive step towards improving Ontario's post-secondary educational system.

Operating grants for colleges and universities will be increased by 4 per cent. This translates to an increase of approximately \$42 million for universities and \$28 million for colleges.

Although this may seem a positive gesture on the part of the Liberal government, it is still far short of desired expectations. Two reports, the Bovey commission and the Skolnik Report, stated that an immediate overall increase of \$91 million was needed to regain 1980-1981 levels.

Prior to the budget, the government also announced the establishment of an Excellence Fund. This fund will contribute a total of \$50 million to Ontario universities in certain designated areas. A total of \$25 million has been allocated to library enhancement and student equipment, \$15 million is designated for research leadership and \$10 million for faculty renewal.


Students next year can expect to see an improvement, as a four per

cent ceiling has been imposed in tuition fees. Unfortunately, a ceiling which not imposed on incidental fees which universities may use to supplement their budgets.

In Laurentian terms the budget has both its good points and bad points. As Dr. J Daniel stated, there will be no continuation of the Excellence Fund after this year. As a result, the use of the small \$650 000 dollars that Laurentian receives from it must be spent in a way which will not generate on-going costs.

The same is also true for the increases in OSAP (8 percent) and in operating grants as no promise was made to ensure that education will receive the continued support of the government. The whole educational system and the OSAP organization need drastic overhauling in order to remain incentives to students.

Overall, if inflation remains below four percent, if universities do not use incidental fees to offset their respective losses incurred by tuition ceilings, and if the government takes the time and money to continue the vote of confidence they have given education, we might see some substantial gains made in the future.



Analysis

Tuna isn't all that stinks in Ottawa

By Barry Schmidl

This week's question: "What do the federal Tory Government, the provincial Ministry of Colleges and Universities, and your favourite professor have in common?"

The answer is: Federal transfer payments to the provinces for post-secondary education, health and other services.

It would seem that in all of the excitement over Michael Wilson's attempt to de-index old age pensions a few months back, most people missed something that concerns students quite a bit. Wilson decided that it would be a good way to save money if the transfer payments (which are generally increased at the rate of inflation every year) were increased at less than the cost of living until \$2 billion was saved. The Canadian Federation of Students (CFS) did notice, however, and is working to have the reduction stopped.

That is old news though. What has happened in the past couple of weeks, though, is that Wilson announced that \$2. billion would be the reduction in the case of Ontario. As Ontario gets 35% of the transfer payments across Canada, this means that the reduction will be even bigger than the government announced (anyone with grade 2 arithmetic can see that the total reduction across Canada will be about \$6. billion). Wilson was asked if this was the case by the media. He couldn't seem to give an answer. Either he doesn't have grade 2 arithmetic (particularly serious for a federal Minister of Finance) or he is trying to hide something.

What does this have to do with the provincial Ministry of Colleges and Universities? Federal transfer payments make up between sixty and seventy percent of the Ministry's annual budget. If the feds don't increase the transfer payments at the cost of living, the province probably won't be able to (or just won't) increase grants to the College and

University systems at the cost of living. This means that as the cost of living goes up, the University and College systems cannot buy as much with each dollar that the government gives them. In addition, the goods and services that the education system buys tend to increase in cost more rapidly than things that you and I buy (at least according to, I think, the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada - the national organization of University and College administrations).

This is where we get to your favourite professor. If the University finds its budget not keeping pace with the cost of living, it has to cut somewhere. The majority of the University's budget goes to salaries. There are only so many little things that the University can cut before it has to start to cut things like the number of professors.

Basically, the University cannot cut much from its budget without cutting the quality of education. The system of funding the University and College system is pretty complex and I hope that I haven't lost you along the way. However, it all comes down to the Federal Tories wanting to save federal money at the expense of the provincial and local levels.

When the federal Liberal government wanted to slash transfers in 1981, the provincial Tory government screamed as did the federal Tory opposition. Now, a federal Tory government faces a provincial Liberal government. There is no provincial Tory government to act as an apologist for the federal Tories. There will be, however, some Tories on the provincial and local levels (yes, even right here at Laurentian) that will spout phrases about "fiscal responsibility". The federal Tory government would like a cheap education system. It's too bad that a system which will give students a quality education which is accessible to all qualified Canadians is expensive, eh!



Expo '86 Lures Students

VANCOUVER [CUP]

There are so many summer jobs available at EXCO '86 that the world's fair is already competing with Pavilions at the site to attract employees.

But because the federal jobs are only for those who can stay through mid-October, returning university students won't get 'em.

The federal government's Canada Pavillion is offering higher wages, than EXPO designer uniforms, and subsidised housing to prospective employees.

Although almost 5000 people have already applied for the 51 available jobs at Vanada Place, officials there still say they'll have a tough time finding workers.

Barry Jackson, personnel director for the pavillion, said with EXPO hiring 15,000 people "we have to offer a competitive package."

Jackson refused to disclose the salaries but said "I can assure you that our scale is very, very good."

Julie Ovenell, Canada Pavillion public relations officer, said the salaries are "much more generous than EXPO salaries. They'll be in the 1000-plus range."

As well, employees will be able to wear, and keep what a press release called "elegant uniforms created by Canada's celebrated fashion designer, Alfred Sung."

Unlike EXPO, subsidised housing probably at brand new condominiums close to the University of British Columbia, will be available to anyone who needs it.

"We'll pay the majority of the rent," said Jackson.

Canada pavillion will even pay for return travel costs for out of town staff for the duration of the fair said Jackson.

But Canada Pavillion only wants to hire people who will work until EXPO closes in mid-October. They're offering a cash bonus to any staff who stay for the full term of employment.

"The dates of EXPO are difficult on student terms," said Jackson. Because pavillion officials expect the volume of tourists to remain constant through September and October, they need staff who will stay, he said.

"A number of students indicated they see working to completion as a possibility," he said. "People on the semester system are a little better off than the people on the term system."

The EXPO '86 corporation, meanwhile, is compiling a data bank of those qualified for the 15,000 other jobs available at EXPO this summer.

"We anticipate there will be a large pool of returning students among the applicants," said John Evans, the manager of recruiting and employment for the EXPO '86 corporation. He said attendance at the fair will likely drop off after August so a lot of students will probably still get jobs.

And rather than competing for employees, Evans said, "we expect the competition for the jobs will be quite stiff."

Council pulls paper out of CUP

FREDERICTON [CUP]

The student union at St. Thomas University here has cut almost \$4,000. from the proposed budget of its newspaper, the **Aquinian**.

The paper's staff requested a \$12,115. grant from the STU student union, only \$703. more than what the paper received from last year's council. The student union responded with an \$8,155. grant for the bi-weekly paper.

Neil Toner, the **Aquinian's** editor, said the biggest cut is in the paper's membership fees for Canadian University Press, a national co-operative of student newspapers.

"Council says the **Aquinian** doesn't have to be members of CUP, so it won't pay the fees. And since the paper won't be CUP members, it doesn't need a travel budget or a mailing budget," said Toner.

Toner said the **Aquinian** staff want to remain members of CUP and receive advertising from Campus Plus, the group's national advertising representative.

The student union, on the other hand, doesn't want CUP services or its ads. It also cut the \$3,000. in advertising revenue the paper project-

ed it would receive from Campus Plus.

Toner says he doesn't know what the student union has against CUP.

"I guess the council doesn't understand why it is important to belong to a national organization. That's ironic, since the SRC belongs to the Canadian Federation of Students," he says.


Toner says without CUP the **Aquinian** will be cut off from outside advice on how to run a paper if the council won't pay the paper's \$2,394. CUP fees.

"We're going to fight the move on CUP. At this point we're not certain

what we can do, but we do know we want to be members of CUP," Toner said.

At last week's council meeting, Greg Davis, the St. Thomas student union president, says he didn't want to cut into the paper's operating expenses but he did want to trim "unnecessary expenses from the budget proposal." Toner says it's hard to trim an already bare bones budget.

The paper publishes fourteen issues a year, and none of the staff receives salaries or honoraria.



ADVERTISE WITH LAMBDA

Sections for both classified advertisements and events

Lambda, G-1 Student Street

675-1151 Ext. 653

Deadline for submissions 5:00 p.m. every Friday

NEWS FROM THE U'S

COMPILED BY NICOLE LORETO

from
Canadian
University
Press

KELOWNA B.C.

Fifteen students at Okanagan College in Kelowna are occupying B.C. Premier Bill Bennett's constituency office until he agrees to a public meeting with students at the college.

Heather Gropp, chair of the Okanagan College student council said Bennett hadn't been to the college, which is in his riding, for at least four years.

In addition to the meeting students want an all-grant student aid programme, a reduction of tuition fees to their prerestrained level and a promise to cut to the colleges' 1986-87 operating budgets, she said.

Karen Cschild, another occupier, a member of the student association said Bennett had said "it would be better if we were at home studying."

Bennett's constituency staff has cut off phone service and electricity in the office. The students have food and blankets.

MONTREAL [CUP]

Stationed in big, red, round huts which squat in the middle of downtown Montreal shopping plazas, young people are not only selling apple juice, they are selling themselves.

"The Apple Network for Youth Employment" is a job research project bringing together young people looking for a job and prospective employers - over a can of apple juice. The Network, launched this month, is the first project by the Youth Association for Economic Initiative, a non-profit organization run for and by unemployed youth.

Armed with only their curriculum vitae and a button which reads, "I am looking for a job" they patiently sell apple juice and speak with customers from 9:00 a.m. until the malls close. Their buttons give their names and the type of employment they are seeking.

According to Guy Leroux one of the initiators of the project said the Network helps dispel many misconceptions about unemployed youth. "The employers can see young people face to face and can see how hard they are working and how much they want a job," he said. "This dispels the myth that young people just sit at home and wait for jobs to come to them."

The Network has plans to set up 30 stands in Montreal, but the City of Montreal is not being very co-operative, said Leroux.

Montreal City by-law 5938 prohibits anyone but painters and musicians to use the city streets and metros and then only with a permit.

Montreal mayor Jean Drapeau, who celebrated 25 uninterrupted years in that job last week, apparently has no idea what it's like to be unemployed.

CALGARY [CUP]

University of Calgary students greeted Glenn Babb South, Africa's ambassador to Canada with boos and catcalls when he spoke on campus Oct. 16.

Protests interrupted his hour and half lecture in defence of the South African government.

Babb asked to speak at other Canadian campuses but the U of C student council was the only one to accept his offer. The student council paid \$325. to rent the hall where he spoke.

Apartheid is the official South African policy which insures supremacy to five million whites, denies rights to 24 million blacks and restricts the rights of almost four million Asians and people of mixed race.

Babb said his country's problems are not unique. He even boasted of South Africa's human rights record.

Through an exchange, the Canadian University Press national office in

Ottawa receives the Varsity, the student newspaper at the University of Cape Town, South Africa. The paper often arrives with huge sections cut out or blacked out.

"We will always be there, even given the worst options. Those five million whites will be there a thousand years," Babb told the members of the Canadian Club.

VANCOUVER [CUP]

The British Columbia Supreme Court recently upheld the provincial health ministry's move to exclude visa students and workers from B.C.'s health insurance plan.

But visa holders and a support staff union at Simon Fraser University will appeal the decision.

Until this fall, foreign students who had lived in B.C. for at least one year were eligible for medical coverage under the province's health plan. In July, the health ministry decided it would no longer be responsible for foreign students.

The ministry's policy change under the Immigration Act said visa holders would no longer be considered residents under the Medical services Act.

The union argued the policy change was illegal because it contravened the Medical Services Act, which defines "resident" as any person who has lived in the province at least one year.

However, Justice Callaghan said the principle issue was not the interpretation of the word resident but the jurisdiction of the minister of health.

WATERLOO [CUP]

Funding for universities and students amounts to little more than peanuts, according to the Wilfred Laurier University student council.

To symbolise government under-

funding, council members gave a bag of peanuts to each student that contributed to a council-sponsored bursary fund campaign.

In a covering letter to donors, the council said the bursary, as well as improved student aid, can make the difference "between half a box of Kraft dinner and a meal that would make mom proud."

The campaign was a symbolic gesture, said councillor Scott Howe. "It is students helping students," he said. The two week campaign was in support of the Ontario Federation of Students' protest against student aid and university underfunding.

A similar "Nuts to Underfunding" campaign last year against the provincial Bovey Commission's recommendations raised \$87. for a bursary fund.

SASKATOON [CUP]

Lack of jobs and fear of nuclear war are making young Canadians apathetic and cynical, says Howard McCurdy, New Democrat MP and chair of the NDP Task Force on Youth.

McCurdy said the government has paid little attention to young Canadians during the 1985 International Youth Year other than the largely unsuccessful Challenge '85 job creation program. McCurdy said the government has done little to provide young Canadians with opportunities for meaningful participation in Canadian society.

But students at the U of S meeting were more concerned with accessibility and government funding to universities.

Disabled people, Metis and single parent women are the most affected by declining accessibility and student aid restrictions, said U of S student council president Ian Wagner.

McCurdy said U of S students were the first to raise the issue of

government funding for research, but did not say what the NDP would do to improve the situation.

TORONTO [CUP]

Seventy-two per cent of the 7,600 union members turned out to vote 94 per cent against the offer.

Katie FitzRandolph Ontario Public Service Employees Union member said the proposed contract "would have led in many cases to increased workload."


Teacher workload is the key issue in the negotiations. Last year, the colleges were closed for three weeks as teachers protested excessive demands by the government to look into the problem, backed up many of the teachers' complaints.

FitzRandolph said the offer would also have eroded vacation and sick leave benefits. As for the pay, the union wants a six per cent raise over one year while the government has countered with increases of four and three per cent over two years, she said.

No one from the Council of Regents could be reached for comment.

A provincial investigator will now be appointed to the dispute. A strike position will depend on when the investigator issues a report, but FitzRandolph said a strike, if one does occur, probably would not take place until December.

Join Lambda's
News Team!



AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, BOOM OR FOLLY?

In the past months, we have heard a great deal about South-Africa. We all accept that injustice is done, and yet the Regime staunchly defends its policies. This writer is Black and currently attending a University where a novel concept of co-education is being experimented with. Blacks and Whites intermingle freely, they sit on the same Student Council, eat in the same cafeteria, even dance in the same Pub. But, classes are separate for obvious cultural differences that cannot be addressed in the same curriculum. To carry equality even further, each group is guaranteed the same number of graduates — fifteen Blacks and fifteen Whites.

There remain a few wrinkles in the system though. One is that far more Blacks apply and are admitted. Because of the policy of limiting graduates, competition is savage. Standards are high, and only those who maintain a B grade in their chosen concentration are allowed to advance. Out of one hundred Freshmen, only about fifty survive the second year. At that point, a competition is held to determine who is accepted into third year. There is room for less than half. This means that a B grade, normally considered

to represent a solid effort, is not good enough.

On the White side, conditions are markedly different; barely enough to fill the quota apply for admission into third year. There is hardly any competition whatsoever, and even C average students have an excellent chance of being accepted. At times, students who normally consider themselves to be Black, but could pass as Whites, are encouraged to enroll on that side.

Although this unique program is hailed as a major step forward by South-African administration, the inequalities do not go unnoticed. It is a sad reflection on human nature that so many Black students are afraid to speak out openly for fear of jeopardizing their chances of success. So great is the distrust, that few realize that they are fortunate to have at their Institution a Faculty of dedicated professionals who are only too aware of the injustice. Each student is thus toiling in isolation, passively accepting the inevitable.

We are fortunate to be living in Canada you say? Don't pat yourself on the back yet. Go back to the beginning of this article, substitute South-Africa with Laurentian Univer-

sity, Black with Anglophone, White with Francophone, and the chickens have come home to roost.

Two years out of anyone's life represent a solid commitment. Knowing that there is a less than 50 - 50 chance of success, no matter how great the effort, it can be daunting for any Freshman. For a mature student with family responsibilities, it can prove disastrous. Whenever the issue of French-English rights are raised, the inevitable argument arises: In the part... Francophones were discriminated against. Does that mean reverse discrimination rectifies part injustice? And who is called upon to be the Scapegoat? Some of our Anglophone students are of Native origin - are they part of that privileged majority? Yet others are Black, who in his right mind would argue that they should pay back past Anglophone privileges? Still more are descendants of so-called "Ethnic Minorities", representing the first generation ever to enter University. Are they now atoning for past Anglophone transgression by being refused a once in a lifetime chance? What about all those who after many years in the job-market were forced to seek further education because of changing


Labour requirements?

These discriminatory practices do not affect just them, but their families as well. Many are single mothers struggling to keep their children fed and clothed while dealing with all the problems encountered by any other student. What debt have they to pay for past Anglophone privilege?

It becomes apparent to even those not familiar with the issues, that here exists serious flaws in the method with which Academic standards are applied. In the interest of Affirmative

Action one segment of society is given the advantage on the basis of language and ethnicity. This is not done by raising the standards of this minority to the level of the majority; but simply by churning out more graduates while sacrificing quality. By addressing existing problems in this questionable manner, new inequalities are sponsored. Ironically, this policy is practiced in a Discipline intended to diminish inequality-socialwork.

Minority Students' Assoc.



feature

Canadian Scientists Tread Thin Line Between War And Peace

By John Gushue
Science and Technology Writer
Canadian University Press

Although scientists on more than 30 campuses will receive research funding from military sources this year, few of them will readily admit the destructive applications of their work once it is finished. Rather, they'll probably prefer to say their funding was made available in the interests of "basic research."

It's an argument that other scientists, like David Suzuki, have heard all too often, and one they refuse to accept.

"The vast majority of scientists are in the business of building weapons to kill people," says the University of British Columbia geneticist and host of CBC's *The Nature of Things*. When he spoke to an audience of 4,000 at the University of Waterloo recently, Suzuki questioned the motives of the scientific establishment for accepting defence funds.

"Profit and destruction are too much of the driving forces of the scientific community," he said.

Yet most defence contracts are without any apparent malicious intent, and researchers claim their work is far removed from the nuts and bolts of the North American military machine.

"The kind of work that is done now is a long way from direct defense application," says Peter Brooks, of the Department of National Defense's research and development branch.

Many peace activists are inclined to agree with Brooks and other DND representatives, but for very different reasons.

"A lot of university research is fairly basic and is not directly related to a particular weapons system," says Ernie Regehr, research director of Project Ploughshares, a national peace organization. But "you have to assume that research done by one program is accessible to all others. All scientific research is combed and examined for possible military application."

Regehr, co-author of *Canada and the Nuclear Arms Race* and a specialist in Canada's arms dealings, believes research is done for one purpose could be easily used by the military for another. The competitive nature of research funding has led the military "to more urgently look for the military applications," he said.

"It's difficult to define research in terms of its intended use," says Don Bates, a member of the McGill medicine faculty and the McGill study group for Peace and Disarmament. He says researchers should bear in mind the ethical considerations and potential applications of their work.

Bates, also active in Physicians for Social Responsibility, says the federal government should be as concerned about military research as university scientists. "The government ought to offer assistance for anyone seeking to gain contracts," he said.

Bates said all research contracts, military and otherwise, must be unclassified and done under public scrutiny. "There should be no secret research on campus," he said.

John Hepburn, a University of Waterloo chemistry professor, says most research is done openly, and that it is "classified

research (the peace movement) wants to go after."

However, Hepburn says, "that information is almost impossible to come by."

Although Prime Minister Brian Mulroney has closed the door on official federal participation in the U.S. - sponsored Strategic Defence Initiative, he has allowed Canadian industries and researchers to compete for Star Wars contracts. Some were relieved he at least decided against full participation.

"I give Mulroney credit for not taking it on full force," Suzuki said. Hepburn however, is doubtful the decision will mean a significant increase in military traffic in Canadian university laboratories.

"I'd be very surprised if there's a lot of research going on Canadian campuses," he said. "There's no one area of science that we have a lead on."

"It wouldn't surprise me a bit if there were contracts here and there but I would be very surprised if there were larger numbers of them."

Before Mulroney's decision was announced, Hepburn was one of 600 faculty at U of W and at Guelph to sign a petition condemning Canadian participation in Star Wars.

An ad hoc committee of representatives of the two universities told the parliamentary committee studying the issue on July 23 that the Star Wars proposal was not technologically feasible and morally sound.

Petitions were also circulated at the University of British Columbia, University of Toronto, McGill University, Université du Québec à Montréal, and McMaster University, where a single petition gathered 605 signatures in three days.

Adam Hitchcock, a McMaster chemistry professor, said faculty, "didn't want any part of (Star Wars). We want to express our abhorrence of the programme." The petition said Star Wars research would escalate the arms race and violate the U.S.-Soviet 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.

Suzuki said it is vital for Canadian scientists to stay clear of Star Wars contracts and other arms research programmes. "Once (the research) starts up, it's impossible to stop," he said.

"There is nothing that will stop it. It has a life of its own. It's too powerful."

Suzuki said the microelectronics community, well-known for signing extensive software contracts with the military, should join the boycott of Star Wars projects.

"If the computer science faculty here is worth its salt, every member will sign a petition," he said.

"I would hope Canadian university scientists would be reluctant to participate in Star Wars research," Bates said. "Scientists have a moral responsibility."

Star Wars critics say any participation will tighten the connections Canada has with the U.S. military. Under the Defence Industry productivity Program the government provides low-interest loans as well as grants to Canadian firms involved with the military. Canada is also required to buy one dollar of U.S. arms for every dollar the U.S. Defence Department spends here.

Petition signers and others say the forecasted economic spin-offs for the civilian sector from Star Wars research and development are unfounded.

"It doesn't translate into jobs and goodness for the private sector," says Suzuki. "It translates into a building weapons of destruction."

"The military has lied through their teeth about how all this will work."

According to Regehr, five times as many jobs could be created for peaceful purposes for every one job Star Wars funding would create. Ahab Abdel-Assiz, as a graduate student at Waterloo, studied military research and development on Canadian campuses, and found that "the spinoffs benefitting the civilian economy have been few and far between."

In a report first released November 1983, and revised later with McMaster graduate student John Bacher, Abdel-Aziz said "the post-war marriage of military, industry, and research has not led to basic research and innovations."

Instead, he found that military research "concentrated on the details of singularly military devices with no conceivable (useful) applications."

As well, university researchers renting their expertise to the military have contributed to arms escalation and troubled international relations. "By bringing new technologies and theoretical advances to the military strategists, Military R&D defines, shapes, and in essence determines the future of international political relations," he said.

For Ursula Franklin, a U of T metallurgist and member of the Science for Peace, International academic organization, "funding affects midset affects fund."


Franklin says as more researchers turn to the military to finance their projects, the academic integrity of the university is diminished.

"The more military and military-related work that is done, the more internal censorship (there is)," she says. "The university should be an open place where all ideas and political (beliefs) are discussed."

"Knowledge is not political property."

Franklin does not agree with colleagues who say they depend on defence spending for their research. "The scientist has the choice. The choice may mean you won't get research money, but you have a choice," she says.

"The university is not there to supply ideas to the government."



The Superpowers maneuvering before the Summit of Geneva

Myth #1 — Hiroshima and Nagasaki

The American atom-bombing of Hiroshima & Nagasaki did not shorten WWII, it lengthened it; it didn't save Allied lives, it cost Japanese and Allied lives.

Dr. Martin J. Sherwin is the only American historian to have read through all of the top-secret documents relating to the Manhattan Project & the A-bombing of Hiroshima & Nagasaki. His research took eight years and from it he published a book, *A World Destroyed: The Atomic Bomb and the Grand Alliance*.

Dr. Sherwin presented in a recent phone interview with Canadian University Press, the following chronology of the last few months of WWII:

May 1945: The U.S. demands the unconditional surrender of Japan. The former ambassador to Japan ("A man who knew more about Japan than any other American in government", says Sherwin) and now acting Secretary of State, Joseph Grew, urges President Truman to modify the unconditional surrender demand.

The U.S. has cracked Japanese codes years before, and from the intercepted messages Grew and Truman knew that the Japanese would never surrender without assurances that the institution of their Emperor would survive.

Truman refuses.

June 21: U.S. wins battle for Okinawa, begins daily aerial bombing of Japan.

In his memoirs, Joseph Grew predicts that Japan may have surrendered on this day if the U.S. had modified their demands for an "unconditional surrender".

July 13: In the clearest sign of the way, Japanese Foreign Minister Togo (in a cable intercepted by American Intelligence and delivered to President Truman) says, "Unconditional surrender is the only obstacle to peace..."

Truman ignores it.

August 6: Atom bomb dropped on Hiroshima. 80,000 die.

August 7: The second bomb is supposed to be dropped on Aug. 11, giving the Japanese time to consider surrendering (note below: Japan's offer to surrender came on Aug. 10).

The timing decision, however, is left in the hands of Colonel Paul Tibbets at bomber command.

Tibbets says it is "too bad" that the date isn't two days earlier, because the weather will be nicer.

The date is moved up.

August 9: Atom bomb dropped on Nagasaki. 74,000 die.

August 10: Japanese government offers to surrender on the condition that the U.S. guarantees the continuation of the Emperor and his dynasty.

August 14: The U.S. agrees to this conditional Japanese surrender — a surrender it could have accepted months before. (And Emperor Hirohito is still alive and ruling today).

Given that the Americans could have ended the Pacific War in July, if not May, of 1945, every Australian, New Zealander, British, Canadian and American soldier who died during that period (not to mention the Japanese) is the responsibility of Truman's government and its blind determination not to end the war until it had tested atom bombs (one uranium and one plutonium) on civilian populations.

The atom bombs "also held out the possibility of a dividend", says Sherwin, "and that was the chance to give Moscow a little shock and shake them up a bit."

But WWII wasn't over yet. In what American scholar Noam Chomsky has described as a "final gratuitous act of barbarism", the United States launched a thousand plane raid against Japan on August 14, four days after Japan had offered to give up, but technically, before the U.S. had accepted.

Seven cities were bombed.

"In the afternoon of August 14, 1945, thousands of people died during a protracted and intensive aerial bombardment of an arsenal in Osaka. I was a witness to the tragedy... After what seemed an eternity of terror and anguish, we who were fortunate enough to survive emerged from our shelters. We found the corpses — and the leaflets which American bombers had dropped over the destruction. The leaflets proclaimed in Japanese, 'Your Government has surrendered. The war is over!'"

(From: *Journal of Social and Political Ideas in Japan*, August 1966)

Even American Secretary of War Stimson said he was "appalled that there had been no protest over the air strikes we were conducting against Japan which led to such extraordinarily heavy losses of life." He felt that "there was something wrong with a country where no one questioned that."

If you're like me you were probably taught a few basic "facts" about nuclear weapons while you were growing up. Somebody probably taught you that the atom bombs the United States dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki shortened World War II. In history class somebody probably said that nuclear weapons have only been used twice. Later somebody probably said that the main reason for the United States to have nuclear weapons is to deter the Soviet Union.

Everything they told you was a lie.

3 MYTHS

Myth #2 — Nuclear Weapons have only been used twice.

The United States has used nuclear weapons 22 times since Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Most of us have never heard of this, but the facts are in the accompanying chart, drawn primarily from a U.S. Defense Department study.

If a killer puts a gun to your head and asks for your wallet, has he used the gun? Yes. Even if he doesn't pull the trigger, he has still used the gun.

In this way the U.S. has used nuclear weapons over and over again since 1945. And, as the chart shows, usually this loaded gun has been pointed at Third World, non-nuclear, not even conventionally strong nations.

Daniel Ellsberg is a former Marine captain and military consultant to the Rand Corporation, in the early 1960's he was the highest ranking civilian in the U.S. Pentagon to read and revise America's overall nuclear war plan. Ellsberg was interviewed about this secret history of nuclear threats by *Current Magazine* in June 1981.

Current: Would a President seriously consider using nuclear weapons against a country that didn't possess them?

Ellsberg: First, That's how Harry Truman used them, August 1945. Second, it's safer than using them against the Soviets. Third, every President from Truman on (with the exception of Ford) has had an occasion in an ongoing, urgent crisis to direct serious preparations for imminent U.S. initiation of tactical nuclear warfare, preparations in every case "leaked" to the enemy, and in several cases accompanied by secret, explicit, official threats... Presidents buy these weapons because they expect to use them, based on their knowledge of a largely secret history — which both they and their adversaries know better than the American public does — of how past presidents threatened their use, and often with some significant success.

By Derek R.
For Canadian

Myth #3 — The main reason for U.S. nuclear weapons is to deter the Soviet Union.

The description of U.S. nuclear weapons as a way to debunking this myth is that the U.S. has nuclear weapons primarily to deter the Soviet Union, then why are we usually so concerned about nations with them?

The U.S. uses nuclear weapons to maintain its empire; that is, the people of the world in its colonies abroad. The U.S. has nuclear weapons but it started much later than the Soviet Union to worry about.

The notion of a U.S. empire was created with an influential group of people called the Council of Foreign Relations.

The CFR was, and perhaps still is, an important organization of business and political leaders. As WWII broke the backs of European powers, members of the CFR would likely emerge from the heartland unscathed, allowing the U.S. to become the most powerful nation on earth.

One CFR member, multi-millionaire Henry Luce (*Time*, *Life*, and *Fortune*) blatantly predicted 100 years of U.S. domination over the world in a 1941 *Life* magazine article, "The American Century."

Luce wrote that it was time for the U.S. to fulfill its duty and our obligation to the powerful and vital nations of the world to exert the impact of our influence, to see fit and by such means to make the world a better place.

Luce could make such a claim because he was also a member of an elite group of people in the Department planning group for the U.S. American strategy for the post-war world was called the War and Peace Plan, and it met for six years, starting in 1941.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology professor Noam Chomsky picks up the story.

"(The members of the Planning Group) decided by 1941-42, that the war was a necessary condition for United States in a position of world dominance. The question was how to organize the world?"

They drew up a concept called the Grand Alliance Planning, where the Grand Alliance was a world which, in their terms, was "stable world control".

Y WE HAVE NUKES

ussen
iversity Press

n for our nuclear
on

ar threats goes some
he U.S. and its allies
to deter the USSR,
ening Third World

ons to control its
me, and the people
does the same thing,
as a smaller empire

started back in WWII
merican industrialists
lations (CFR).

still is, the most
ss leaders in the U.S.
e major European
alized that the U.S.
ar with its industrial
to become the most

lionaire publisher
fortune magazines),
f American control
itorial entitled "The

accept wholeheart-
tunity as the most
the world, and in
the world the full
uch purposes as we
ve see fit."

forecast because he
sive CFR/U.S. State
hich was creating
ar period. The group
Studies Programme,
in 1939.

chnology professor
ry:
me) knew, certainly
ing to end with the
enormous global
ose: "How do we

own as Grand Area
s defined as the area
gically necessary for

In order for the U.S. economy to prosper without changes (a crucial point which comes through in all the discussions of this period), without any redistribution of wealth or power or modification of structures, the War and Peace Programme determined that the **minimum** area strategically necessary for world control included the entire Western hemisphere, the former British empire which they were in a process of dismantling, and the Far East. That was "the minimum, and the maximum was the universe" (from a speech at the Polytechnic of Central London, Manchester Guardian, June 21, 1981.)

If the U.S. was going to maintain power worldwide and yet avoid a revolution at home, it would have to control enough of the world's resources to support a wealthy elite at home and dish out some scraps for social programs to defuse dissent.

Henry Luce also phrased this bluntly in his *Life* editorial: "Tyrannies may require a large amount of living space. But Freedom requires and will require far greater living space than Tyranny."

With a large enough domain, the United States (like other efficient empires in history such as Rome and England) would be able to afford a high degree of freedom at home while being ruthlessly repressive abroad.

The American empire reached its peak in the early 1970's, when the following American allies received military aid and training from the U.S. Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Dominican Republic, Greece, Guatemala, Haiti, Indonesia, Iran, Mexico, Morocco, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, Spain, South Korea, South Vietnam, Tunisia, Turkey, Uruguay, and Venezuela. (All were reported as using some degree of government sanctioned torture).

But how was the United States going to control its Grand Area? It couldn't possibly afford a massive standing army to police the biggest empire in history.

The significance of nuclear weapons becomes clear.

Imagine the strategic importance of a weapon which would make it unnecessary for U.S. troops to be everywhere (which they could not be).

Yugoslavia shoots down an American spy-plane in its airspace? Threaten to blow it off the map (1946). Guatemala elects a popular Christian Democrat that the U.S. doesn't like? Sponsor a coup d'etat and back it up with nuclear-equipped B-29 bombers (1954). This is what nuclear weapons are for.

"My feeling was then", wrote former President Eisenhower in his memoirs, "and still remains, that it would be impossible for the U.S. to maintain the military commitments which it now sustains around the world did we not possess atomic weapons and the will to use them when necessary." (from Eisenhower, *Mandate for Chance*, 1963, page 180)

Since nuclear war may break out at any time, nuclear weapons have the added advantage of keeping one's own domestic population on a war footing all the time, ready to make any sacrifice. In the USSR, freedom is sacrificed, in the U.S., 50 cents out of every tax dollar is sacrificed to the military.

This perpetual state of "almost-war" is called the cold war. Eisenhower's Secretary of State, John Foster Dulles, explained the utility of this "cold war" idea thirty years ago: "In order to make the country bear the burden (of arms expenditures) we have to create an emotional atmosphere akin to wartime psychology. We must create the idea of a threat from without."

"The cold war", says Noam Chomsky, "was a marvellous device by means of which the domestic population could be mobilized in support of aggressive and interventionist policies under the threat of the superpower enemy."

"That is exactly the way the cold war is functioning today. The cold war is a highly functional system by which the superpowers control their own domains. That is why it continues and will continue. It is also very unstable, the system could blow up at any time. But planners on both sides are willing to accept the risk for the utility of being able, in the case of the U.S., to control its Grand Area, and, of the Soviet Union, its minor Grand Area."

For proof that this policy continues right up to the present we need look no further than the *Globe & Mail*. On October 3, 1983, the *Globe's* front page carried a Reuters report titled: "U.S. More Apt to Fight in Third Worlds States, Air Force Study Says." Leaked to the press agency, the study, called Air Force 2000, warned that "the U.S. is much more apt to be drawn into wars involving third World nations than into a war in Europe, where combat with Soviet forces is not likely in this century." The most likely battleground is "the area plus or minus 30 degrees from the equator. For example...war in the Middle East is virtually inevitable."

The Secret History of United States First-Strike Nuclear War Threats

Date	Place	President
1946	Iran	Truman
1946	Yugoslavia	
1947	Uruguay	
1948	Berlin Blockade	
1950	Korea	
1953	Korea	Eisenhower
1954	Guatemala	
1954	Dienbeinpu (offered to the French)	
1956	Suez Crisis	
1958	Lebanon Crisis	
1954, 58	Taiwan (against China)	Kennedy
1958	Iraq	
1959	Berlin	
1961	Berlin	
1961	Laos	
1962	Cuban Missile Crisis	Johnson
1968	Indochina War (at least twice)	
1969-72	North Vietnam	Nixon
1969	Jordan	
1973	Arab-Isreali War	Kissinger/Haig (considered themselves in charge)
1980	Persian Gulf	Carter

SOURCES: (a Brookings Institute Study funded by the U.S. Dept. of Defense): *Force Without War*, by B. Blechman & S. Kaplan, Washington, DC, 1978. And: "Call to Mutiny" by Daniel Ellisberg, in *Protest and Survive*, ed. by E.P. Thomson & Dan Smith, Monthly Review Press, NY, 1981.

residence columns

10

Thorneloe Thunder

Hello fellow Thornelovians, how's it going? Well things are pretty boring around here. So what else is new? Kim you're supposed to brush your hair with it not your eyes. Jubes did you really hit her?

Terry why did Pam give you money and what are you doing with her panties? Twilight Ted was crushed to find out this past week that Max Webster broke up. Johnny Athens, are you really a bag lady? Rich fell off his diet into a pile of fudge. He went home last weekend for his birthday to eat his cake and have it too.

Terry stop making rude gestures in the hall, Tracey M. is watching. Jubes has opened a catering business, good fruit salad. Mary did you have to eat all the mousse? Bert got a new key-finder. So now when Bert gets lost her keys can find her.

The Thunderbird hockey team lost their season opener 8 - 3 to Chemistry. They'll come back and win it all now that Big Guy is coaching them. Scooter try scoring with your stick.

The rehearsals for Thorneloe Players production "Uncle Vanya" are coming along quite nicely, thank you very much. Bill when are you going to get your guitar tuned. Scooter is still trying to play his mouth organ, but it seems more applicable in pest control.

There was a flag raising ceremony somewhere, Rob and Terry where did you find that flag? Seances have been banned this year. Debbie keep a smile on your face, you're beautiful. Johnny is still looking for friends. M. i'm, Casey, Tracey and Carolyn B. v.i.o loves you? Say hellow to your fel-

Thornelovians in the hall and put a smile on their faces. Mandy you should take the price tag off your coat. Caroline you know who loves you! Ants took Derek home to meet his parents! Janet and Antoinette are making a list and well you know. Bill did you find a hammer yet? Todd how was dinner Friday night? Did Pat and Mario have a good time? Terry and Rob what were you doing to Bert in Rob's room? And should we disturb you next time! Is Madonna really Richard's twin sister? Or is it his brother's friend's sister's aunt? Congratulations to Jamie A. and John W. and all the Soccer Vees on a good season. Mr. and Mrs. Zee are doing fine, thanks for the cookies. Zee get your own bread. Debbie don't be frightened, it's only the limits of your imagination. Nice T-shirt Antoinette!

Well, the bets are on. Who will give birth first Jackie C. or Johnny K. Odds are 3 - 1 in Johnny's favour. Speaking of deals, why is Tim the only guy eating Starkist tuna? Is he dealing with the government on this one? Hey, Hugh who's this woman with the deep voice calling you on the phone? Dave G. time will tell when you can find your boots. Carolyn H. I didn't forget you, we all love ya! Bert, when are you going to start waking up in your own room? Sarge is getting his own dates now. So Johnny who's Lisa and why does she listen to the top 20? Richard was crushed to hear that Madonna got married.

The T-Birds hockey team are back on track. They beat Huntington 9 - 4. That's it for this week, keep flapping those feathers. Bye.

The Byrd.

STROKERS' BOX

Hellor Stokers,
What a week this has been! Res spirit is at a peek. Second Red, bored with CBC's coverage, has decided to open their own "Hockey Night in Canada". Reno, Stepen and Quassem take on all challengers. And while on the floor, what's this brewing between Jeff and Roxanne?

While Sudbury votes to become a Nuclear Free Zone, U. of S. should declare 1st Red and 1st Blue as a dart gun free zone! Word has it that Ron wants in, and is working with the Russians to develop a dart with a built in guidance system!

Friday's "Miami Vice" party saw a good turn out even if Don Johnson didn't show up (as was rumoured on 2nd Blue this week). Another rumour has it that 3rd Blue had a number of under cover agents that night. For more info: contact Bill and Mireille or Irene and the unknown hockey player.

With the end of the dance came the most shameful event ever witnessed at U. of S. We have finally been shown as the hypocrites that we truly are. We are shown films and presentations, nod our heads saying yes Ron, yes Sister until we're blue in the face, and yet the same people turn around and drink to the brink of consciousness.

In this case, two of the 'responsible' people in Res, a Don and the LaForge manager staggered to the party and, not surprisingly found a fight with some people who just needed an excuse for it. Perhaps resignations are in order? This power trip that Dick has been on since September has to end! The debate over who hit whom could go on forever, but the results are clear: Everyone's fun was ruined, the hard work that two floors put into organizing this party was in vain as they were left with a disgusting mess of blood and broken glass. At least

four people suffered lacerations to their hands, a member of Res was hit on the head with a beer bottle (has a chipped tooth) and a person from outside of Res has 18 stitches in his head from a beer bottle that someone from our Res hit him with. While those involved point fingers and make threats, let us hope that all guilty parties are punished and, while it is easy for us to sit back and put the blame on others, let us first make sure that our own house is clean, because quite frankly Stokers, we are in no position to throw the first stone! At any rate let's not see a repeat of this drunken bludgeon at Xmas banquet.

Your Everloving RAMMS

Editor's note:

Congratulations to RAMMS who finally showed that residence scoops and other bed-time stories are not the only topics of concern in this page.

UNICORNIA

Hello U.C.ites. I'd like to apologize for last week's column, some sort of metamorphosis occurred between writing and printing.

First of all, congratulations to the Wild Ox on their 20 - 17 championship victory in intramural football and to the Fourth floor girls for their second place position in women's football.

Friday night was "Top Rank Boxing Nite" in U.C. Pete B. opened the card against "Mike Golden Gloves" of Seventh. The decision went to Pete. Mike had a preliminary against Larry L. that same day, Bill A. opened up on S.S.R. Boy, and Rick P. and Chris S.

rounded out the card with a first round knock down. Decision Chris S. On Saturday, there was only one fight, with Marv definitely winning over the Vater. Rumour has it, they're both in for repairs.

Friday night was "Miller Lite Night" in Wiley's. Chewy was the only fatality, nice midnight hurl! Unrelated fatalities included Neil "Washroom Hurl and Passout" C. and Don "Schnapps" B. Congratulations to Eddy A. for his "Entertainer of the Year" nomination during Seventh and Fourth floor dinner, and to Bill F. for his rendition of "As long as her age is a double digit number, it's fine with

me."

Is it true that John S., J.P., and Dave F. went "Snowboarding" on Saturday night, nice face dives. J.P., Neil O. brought home the Soo cheerleading team, nice work. Greg C. was attacked on Saturday night, but Greg, "I thought that's what you expected." Congrats to Patty Y., Newf, Lisa Barichello, and Christine, for completing Century Club, and is it true that Ernest is hoarding all the Lambda papers in his room?

That's all for this week, rack tight Paul.

J.D.

S S RUMOURS

Congratulation to Laura M. for winning the election as S.S.R. president. For those of you who have any complaints, compliments, or...suggestions, Laura can be found somewhere on campus...usually the Pub.

While on the topic of the Pub, Rumours have it that Boomer left early Thursday night, but for some unexposed reason, he didn't make it home for eight hours.

Sheena W. must still be wandering how she got stuck watching the POLE. I guess that's just part of a porter's duties.

J-section is full of news this week, Maria is still wondering where Bruno is...How long can this go on? Maybe you should submit an application to Chris M., a few doors down from you, because he's still looking for a "special" companion. If worse comes to worse, I suggest you write to Dear Pierre.

Sometimes people think the "B" in

B section stands for Boring. Why would anyone think that when a few boys in the section stay up until three in the morning playing Risk.

Other big news in B-section is that Richie and Joannie dropped by to see Dan.

M-section is holding ground by coming up with something different every week. Second floor M had a three-legged floor hop which included a trip to the Prez. Frann and Jim took a shortcut which didn't turn out to be so short.

Ed S. I hope you are feeling better now that your wallet has been returned. I hope you learned your lesson; you don't have to show your I.D. for everything.

The Prez and her executive must be commended on their new drinking policy. They had Brian K keeping tabs on everybody's drinks.

Remember Rumour has it.

Y. SAM

Huntington Hilites

It was another lively weekend at Huntington. With several roomies away, there were plenty of double singles to take advantage of — especially in I-J. Why was it then that everyone slept in Mario's room?

Thursday night, the pub was bopping, and Don our promising politician was pinning everyone. Nipple was initiated on Thursday too, and it must have been rigorous as he didn't make it back till Friday. Poor Brad was up until 5:00 a.m. trying to keep the noise down — what a dedicated man!

Ponto gets the "Super Hooper" award this week for being the first person to toss 'em in the music room — can't wait to hear the song he was working on. Saturday night, the pub at the Prez was the place to be, even if you can't remember. The beach attire was great for the dance floor, but a little too little for the weather. It was great to see Karen and Colleen back for a visit, maybe they'll be back again soon Steve.

Shaggy must have had a good time; he returned with more than he took.

Questions of the week:

When will Duff be able to lace up his skates and get back on the ice?

Who does Laura find such an intriguing kisser?

What is happening with the Rachel, Lorraine, and Moose triangle?

Who put the water in the bucket outside Ed and Lou's room?

Until Next Week,

The Rat

Rumour has it that all residence writers must meet with the editor Thursday, November 14, 1985 at 5:30. Important!!

Dear Pierre



Dear Pierre,

I am a first year theatre student. I have a problem that I feel only you can help me with. You see the only reason I came to university was to get my MRS. degree and so far the only proposals I've received are from males strictly below par. My mom says I should transfer to U of T, my Dad is thinking of enlarging my dowry and me...? I'm wondering if I should

even bother finishing out the term. Perhaps I should switch to Commerce? What should I do?

Signed Diamonds are forever.

Dear Diamond,

Well you seem to be aware that there are more guys in Commerce, you are right. Maybe you can find a young commerce yuppie that is up to par.

Dear Pierre,

I invite this girl out to dinner, I find her very attractive. That night we ate and drank at an elite restaurant. I invite her to my apartment for a drink. As we sat at a warm fire, sipping Le Piat D'or. I proceeded to kiss her and rather enjoyed it, and as I kissed her again she vomited in my mouth. Do you think I fed her too much? Ever since this happened I am scared to kiss any girl I date, because I still get that taste in my mouth.

Signed Wasted A Pretty Penny on Jenny

Dear Wasted,

Well I think she likes you, think of all that good nutrition. I hope she chewed her food.

Dear Pierre,

I am just wondering about the soccer team! Are they gay? Because they all have earrings in their ears.

Signed Hopelessly Hetro

I don't know if they are homosexual but I think they are try-sexual. They will try anything.

Dear Pierre,

How do you let a guy know you are interested in him?

Signed: Someone interested in you.

Oops — I mean in a certain gentleman who shall remain nameless.

Dear Interested,

I don't want to declare emotional warfare on you, because I am a prisoner of my own emotions! I like to remain mysterious.

MOVIE REVIEWS

MISE EN SCÈNE



The Laurentian Film Society

La Balance, Bob Swain (France, 1982)

By Jim Coyle

Unlike many of France's films which find their way across the ocean and onto the screens in the movie-houses of North America, *La Balance* strikes an interesting, yet different chord in the mind of the viewer. The chord is a harmonious blend of European and American cinematic stylization, and its resounding pulse creates a curious and enjoyable film.

La Balance is the story of a group of French detectives investigating the brutal murder of a police informer. Their investigation leads them into the world of underground organized crime, and they enlist the services of a small-time hood and his prostitute girlfriend to help them infiltrate a particular gang they think is responsible for the murder.

Now, we might think that this is shaping up to be the typical, well-trodden crime-drama plot so prevalent in American cinema and television. Well, it is! But it also has a very appealing visual style and grace that could only come from the tradition of the French cinema.

Director Bob Swain gives us a very two-dimensional film (and this is not meant in a derogatory sense). On one level there is the well-controlled, tense police thriller, complete with a range of characters taken and adapted from many American sources. Here, the action and the suspense, along with the wry, tongue-in-cheek humour, moves the film to an incredible pace and provides top-notch entertainment. It is also interesting to note that Swain's "Americanized" characters — the police — are often surrounded by American film posters and paraphernalia in the film. On the other level, the film explores the qualities and limitations of trust and love, which is most effectively illustrated by the very French characteristics of the relationship between the hood Dede and

the prostitute Nicole. And out of this relationship, the bond of trust extends to include Nicole's relationship with the detective who is trying to solve the murder, while at the same time protecting Dede.

The expression of these loyalties is superbly rendered by Swain's technical skill behind the camera. The scenes between Dede illustrate the developing intricacy of their relationship. Their first scenes together are shot very simply, but as the tension grows, the lighting becomes softer, and the contrasts in colours become very warm indeed.

The gentle, fade-out editing of their sequences together also indicates their genuine feelings of love for each other. This gentleness is often contrasted with the brusque characters of the police who, on more than one occasion, shatter the tender moments that Dede and Nicole share. But the examination of the loyalty between the two protagonists is the most expressionistic element of the film. Thus these scenes provide the film with its strongest and most usually appealing moments. By far, they are the most significant moments in the film.

Overall, *La Balance* has the look and feel of its French tradition, but it also has a very American flavour to it. But as much as it may resemble any given episode of *Miami Vice* (the parallel was amusingly pointed out to me by a professor of mine), its expression is distinctly French. And while this melange is unusual, it certainly does not harm the finished product.

Of course, the wonderful performance by Nathalie Baye as Nicole — as well as the entire cast — and the sensitive direction of Swain, provide enough evidence to justify the three "Césars" awarded to this film. Indeed, an intriguing mixture of styles, and a fine film to boot!

On Thursday, Nov. 21, The Film Society presents *Confidence* (Hungary, 1979), directed by Istvan Szabo.

From the director of the incredible *Mephisto* comes the story of a man and woman, each happily married, who must live together as man and wife in one small room in order to escape arrest from the Germans during the occupation of Hungary in World War II.

Curtain time is 8:00 p.m. at the Teacher's College auditorium.

BETTER OFF DEAD

By Lorie Cunningham

This movie should have been titled 'Better Off Home' because you'd enjoy yourself a lot more if you had stayed there!

The release is nothing but silly, silly, silly! But, that's O.K., I can live with silly enough, it's stupid (that sounds kind of dumb doesn't it?)

Oh well, the main problem with this movie is that it doesn't go far enough. It's afraid to go out on a limb and get down right absurd. So instead, it plays it safe by being a straight movie with a few absurd episodes thrown in. The result is a

rehashing of old sight gags, pranks & prat falls that you've seen a million times before and probably done better.

However, if you are a manic depressive, this movie may just cheer you up. This poor guy has more problems than a Laurentian student at exam time: his girlfriend dumped him, his mother serves green soup for supper that crawls off the plate making obscene noises, his father is domineering, overbearing and hopelessly square, his best friend is a wierdo in a top hat, his little brother is a kid genius who is

building a rocket launcher from home appliances, and as if all that weren't enough, the paper boy is a little hood who uses Clint Eastwood tactics throughout the movie to get his \$2.00.

Sounds pretty wierd, huh? Well, it is. So much for profound and scintillating remarks that are supposed to illuminate the world of cinema for you.

This movie is strange. If you're strange, or maybe just think you might possibly be strange, go see it, you'll probably like it.



FINE ART SALE

Prints For Every Taste And Budget

Hundreds of Reproductions at \$2.50 - \$6.00
300 Exhibition Posters - Most Far Below List Price
Moderately Priced Original Etchings

Date: NOV. 14+15

Time: 9 - 5

Place: ARTS BLDG. CORRIDOR

FINAL DAY DRAW

PRIZE: \$100.00 GIFT CERTIFICATE • WINNER AT 1 P.M.

• ONE ENTRY PER PERSON • NO PURCHASE NECESSARY





On the Turntable

Z.Z.Top - Afterburner [Warner Bros. Records]

By Big Guy

Billy Gibbons, Dusty Hill and Frank Beard have been turning out progressive Texas brand of rock 'n roll since the late 1960's. Although such classic albums as **Fandango**, **Tres Hombres** and **Rio Grande Mud**, Z.Z.Top has long exemplified the Texas R and B sound that has been popularized by the likes of Johnny Winter and Steve Roy Vaughan. The Texas Trio has long been renowned for not only their visual on-stage antics but their costumes and trick guitars as well.

Afterburner, the follow-up to the enormously successful **Eliminator** album, closely follows the pattern of **Eliminator** in both scope and measure, yet with the addition of a drum machine and a few synthesizers, the Z.Z.Top Progression is continued.

This album offers more of the Gibbons-Hill classic lyrics on such cuts as the A.M. hit "Sleeping Dog", the humorous "Velcro Fly" and the partying "Can't Stop Rockin'".

The album's best cut is the biographical "Stages" which talks about the paths to love and the ballad "Roughboy" which deals with the tribulations of a good ole boy and his love.

Afterburner lacks the bluesy heart of **Rio Grande Mud** and since Z.Z.Top's progression to up-tempo rock 'n roll, I feel the band has lost some of its heart but certainly none of its originality and popularity. After such classics as "Cheap Sunglasses", "I Want to Thank You" and "Bar-B-Que", the cuts on **Afterburner**, although striking, lack the cutting

edge of early Top.

The release, produced as always by long-time Top compatriot Billy Ham, is well orchestrated and at times with the new synthesizers a little too modern for hard-core Top-pers.

Thus, we come to a cross-roads in regards to Z.Z.Top. I, personally am a blues lover and thus really appreciate the old Top, yet the new modern Z.Z. is not entirely outside that spectrum.

It remains a matter of taste whether or not one likes or loves the new Z.Z. album, but I know that you could never dislike it.

What's Happening

□ Laurentian University's Sociology/Anthropology Association de Sociologie/Anthropologie presents **A Coffee House** panel discussion by professors Geoff Tesson and Viola Stuart on "Homosexuality — A Sociological Perspective." This event will take place on Tuesday, November 26th, at 3:00pm in the **Entre Deux Lounge**, 3rd floor of the Classroom Building. Coffee and doughnuts will be served. Admission is \$.50 for students and seniors, \$1.00 for adults. Members are admitted free of charge.

□ SAASA General Meeting on Wednesday, November 20th, from 2:30 - 3:30pm in Conference Room B. New members are welcome.

□ Don't forget — November 15th is the deadline for entering teams in the **Molson/President's Cup**. If you have not already done so, please submit your SIGNED master declaration sheet to Raymonde Ouellette or Julie Tremblay (522-8273), or bring it to the Physical Education desk. Special thanks to Molson's for the donation of prizes and the cup.

"The Presidential Advisory Committee on the Status of Women reminds you that Laurentian University has adopted a SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICY. The dual function served by the policy is to discourage sexual

harassment and to set up the necessary procedures so that victims of sexual harassment can seek redress. Sexual harassment is defined and there are consultative procedures. For further information, call extension 562."

Thorneloe Players Presents: Uncle Vanya

By Lorie Cunningham

The Thorneloe Players are busy gearing up for their first major production this year; Anton Chekov's **Uncle Vanya**.

The play is a light hearted comedy that has its serious moments as well. The central character of **Uncle Vanya** comes to the realization that he (Uncle Vanya) has wasted his life chasing a dream. Not to spoil the ending, let me just say that it is thought provoking and a touch wistful with much bearing on contemporary life.

The Players are under the new direction of Daniel Dickson who also heads Laurentian's Theatre Arts Department.

Working with Mr. Dickson is: Ted

Markle in the role of Uncle Vanya, Scott Thom as Dr. Astrov, Elaine Lumley as Helen, Katherine Burns as Sonja, Doug Hewiecki as the Professor, Patsy Hurd as Marina, and Brett Cousins as Telegrin. This cast is comprised exclusively of Laurentian students with varying amounts of theatrical experience.

The play runs November 22, 23, and 24 at the Fraser Auditorium. Curtain time is 8:00 p.m. and there is a matinee showing on the 23 at 2:00 p.m.

Tickets are \$3.00 for students and seniors and \$5.00 for general admission. They are available at the door, the Bookstore, and the Thorneloe Office. Be sure not to miss it!



Director Daniel Dickson with actors Scott Thom, Brett Cousins and Ted Markle rehearsing.

SGA AND SINGLE STUDENTS' RESIDENCE PRESENT...GILT

Friday, November 22nd. Great Hall
9:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.
Admission: \$5.00 at the door



NUMBER ONE IN A SERIES


HOW TO CALL FOR THE BLUE.



CONCH SHELL

This technique was first established by residents of the Seychelles Islands who used it to attract passing pods of sperm whales. Each pod, consisting of one or more whales, produced a sufficient wake to permit local residents to host 12 consecutive international surfing championships. More recently, conch blowing has become popular at numerous seaside watering holes where it was found to attract considerably more attention than the more conventional

"Excuse me...waiter."



SEMAPHORE



Or flag flapping as it is often called, enjoys considerable popularity among the nautically inclined. Practitioners of this particularly colourful form of communications have reported physical benefits such as an increase in the size of bicep, tricep and pectoral muscles. This has prompted one enterprising manufacturer of sporting equipment to introduce a new product called "Heavy Flags." This means that when calling for a Blue it is now possible to get bent into shape.




TIN CANS WITH STRING

Success with this approach depends largely upon the size of the cans and the length of the string used. Most waiters or waitresses will show some reluctance at the prospect of walking about their establishment with 50 gallon oil drums affixed to their hips. An empty Blue can (preferably of the larger variety) is considered de rigueur. Users should be advised that calls across the bar are cheaper after six and on Sundays.

Important: Many first time users of this proven technique have complained of what is generally referred to as the "Lloyd Bridges or Jacques Cousteau effect."

This can be remedied by making sure that cans are completely drained prior to transmitting or receiving messages.



ONE IN A SERIES OF HIGHLY INFORMATIVE PRESENTATIONS AIMED AT HELPING YOU TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE DIVERSE MEANS IN WHICH IT IS POSSIBLE TO OBTAIN ONE OR MORE BOTTLES OF BLUE, CANADA'S MOST POPULAR BEER. FOR THAT CLEAN, TRUE TASTE.

The Position of *Production Manager* of Lambda is now open. Two persons who must be Lambda staffers will fill said position as recommended by the Lambda Publications Board of Directors.

The position pays about \$3,000. dollars a year. (Two persons).

Apply at the Lambda Office. You need

three (3) signatures from Lambda staff to be eligible to run.

Screenings and elections TODAY!

For more information, contact Yannis Souris, Lambda editor.

Lambda general meeting, Thursday, November 14, 1985. Today!! Meeting will be at 5:30. All Lambda staff members must

attend.

Elections for the *Production Managers'* position. Other important matters to be discussed as well. Be there!!



Photo by Diana Competrini

1985 - 1986 Lady Vees

Front Row: Sheila Balson, Sharon Knowles, Carol Hamilton, Anne Rimes, Sheila Ivan.

Back Row: Mary Wasylycia (trainer/manager), Michelle Porter, Nancy Harrison, Sue Macpherson, Peter Ennis, (coach), Shirilene McLean, Sandy Stevenson, Kelly Edwards, Angie Pumputis, Leslie Dalcin (assistant coach).

Kotsios' Korner

by Gus Perdicalis

Profile:

LLOYD POLLARD



If you are ever in the games room, and you are anxiously waiting for the guy ahead of you to stop donating quarters on Miss Pacman, you might want to yell out, "I guess Angelo is burning up some hamburger helper again!" Maybe, just maybe, the guy will run out and follow that distinct aroma, all the way back to his place...but only if his name is LLOYD POLLARD.

You see, Angelo is Lloyd's roommate and he also happens to be a great cook, especially when you are beat from basketball practice. Seeing as how Lloyd is a member of this year's Vees basketball squad, a nice guy like "Jello" is of big help.

In this, his second year with the team, Lloyd is once again the starting point guard for the Vees. To refresh your memory, last year's team had a successful

season, having finished in a tie with U of T, for second place in the tough OUAA East division. Unfortunately, the guys lost out to U of T in the first-round of the playoffs.

This season under new head coach Peter Campbell, Lloyd feels, "the team is more experienced because we have almost the same personnel as last year." According to Lloyd, Campbell (ass. coach for the Ladies National Team) brings with him a lot of experience, new ideas, the ability to motivate and most of all, the ability to adjust.

Lloyd hopes that the team will make it past the first round of the playoffs, but that's probably as big as a prediction as you will get from him. Lloyd or "Mo" (as in Moseby) appears to be quietly confident, as are his teammates who would prefer to brag on the court rather than on paper.

The team is running a lot more this year, with heavy emphasis on a controlled fast break, as well as various defences. These two factors contributed greatly to the

The team is running a lot more this year, with heavy emphasis on a controlled fast break, as well as various defences. These two factors contributed greatly to the team's fine showing at the Pizza Hut tournament, which was held at

Lakehead, about a week-and-a-half ago. The Vees beat UNB and Viterbo College (Wisconsin) on their way to winning the tournament. For his fine work, "Mo" was named to the tournament all-star team.

The 21 year old Ottawa native, moved to Canada at the age of 11. Having been exposed to the NBA for the first time in his life, Lloyd began taking interest in a sport that he has come to excel at. These are his most memorable athletic feats:

-Named Athlete of the Year, in 1983/84, at Laurentian H.S.

-Named MVP at Ottawa High School All-Star game.

-Named Volleyball MVP in 1982-83, at Laurentian H.S.

-Competed at OFSAA, in the triple jump.

When he isn't shooting hoops, "Mo" is busy working towards his Psychology degree. He still has a couple of years to go, but right now, he is aiming for a career in Sports Psychology. Other goals include travelling back to his home country, Guyana, as well as getting

involved in the music industry as a part-time producer.

Besides hamburger helper, "Mo" enjoys Vice, Commando, Whitney Houston (yeah!), Freddy Jackson, all comic books, as well as autobiographies. His favourite saying is "stink", but don't ask me what it means.

"Mo" admits that his mom has been a big influence in his life. Other people that Lloyd looks up to include tall centres and forwards.

The basketball season promises to be a lot of fun and one guy who will see to that is Lloyd Pollard. So, CHECK IT OUT!

ESSAYS typed with care.
Reasonable rates. Phone any time. Mrs. Ruth MacDonald
675-6126.

LSAT GMAT

Prep. Courses for
Dec. 7 LSAT
Jan. 25 GMAT
(416) 923-PREP

NAME THAT VEE

Lambda Sports would like to introduce you to the latest addition of our paper. The "Name That Vee" column will include a picture and a brief summary of both past and present Laurentian athletes. In hopes that you, the reader will be able to identify them. The purpose of this feature is to test your sports minds as well as give you some recognition to our Voyageur athletes.

We welcome your comments and suggestions.

Our first Voyageur was a member of the Laurentian Hockey Vees from 1980-84. During this time he amassed 177 points in



OCAA league action to put him at the top of the all-time Voyageur scoring list.

For the answer see next week's issue.

The Laurentian University Sports Information Office is pleased to announce the Laurentian Male and Female Athletes for the month of October. Soccer team member Jamie Armstrong is the Laurentian U Male Athlete, and Charlotte LaRose and Elizabeth Bryant are the co-winners of the Female Athlete of the Month.

Jamie, in his 3rd year with the Voyageurs, had an outstanding season. During regular season play in October Jamie scored 6 goals, with 3 of them in one game versus Royal Military College. In the OCAA playoffs he came through again by adding 2 more goals — 1 against Windsor and the all important winning goal in overtime against Carleton in the OCAA Final.

Charlotte LaRose a rookie with Laurentian U's track & field team had first place finishes in the 100m

and 200m at the University of Toronto invitational with times of 12.5 seconds and 26.5 seconds. The time of 12.5 seconds in the 100m equaled the LU school records she had established in September 1985. At the OCAA/OVIAA Track and Field Championships, Charlotte tied for 2nd in the 100m and placed 3rd in the 200m.

Liz Bryant, the Lady Vees Field Hockey goalie, helped her team to a 5th place finish in Ontario, the best finish ever for the team. Liz played outstanding for the Lady Vees at the OVIAA Championship tournament. She played well against McMaster as LU won by a score of 4 - 2 on penalty strokes. She came through again for the Vees in their 3 - 1 defeat of Carleton.

Congratulations to Jamie, Charlotte and Liz on their outstanding performances during the month of October.

VEES SWEEP REDMEN

This past weekend the Laurentian hockey squad played hosts to the visiting club from Royal Military College.

In the opener on Saturday, the Vees coasted to a 5 - 3 win. With goals coming from Gus Gauthier, with a pair, Dennis Castonguay, Pat Lizotte and defenceman Rick Koritko, the Voyageurs extended their home win streak to three games.

In Sunday's contest, the Vees again gained the victory, ousting the Redmen by a count of 6 - 3. Notching two goals for the Voyageurs was veteran Mike Contini with singles coming from Gus Gauthier, Dennis Castonguay, Martin Trainor and Brett Kelleher, who also contributed with two assists.

The Vees take the road this weekend for matches against Windsor on Saturday and Sunday.

Table Tennis

A series of eight table tennis coaching lessons, sponsored jointly by the Laurentian University Table Tennis Club and the Ontario Table Tennis Club, will be given to club members at the Teacher's College gym between Nov. 1985 to March, 1986. Coach and teacher, Mr. Kosanovic who was Yugoslavia and several times North American champion.

The first lesson will be given on Sunday Nov. 23, 1985, 2:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. at the Teacher's College gym.

Deadline for entries is Sunday, Nov. 17, 1985 and will be accepted on a first come first serve basis.

For Laurentian University students and personnel, club membership fee is \$5 and coach lessons entry fee \$5.

For further information, call Al Mee Lee (674-3081) or C.W. Lau (674-8064).

OCAA Hockey League Standings

GP W L T F A TP

York	6	5	0	1	37	17	11
Waterloo	7	5	1	1	41	23	11
LAURENTIAN	6	5	1	0	31	24	10
Laurier	4	3	0	1	30	13	7
Brock	8	3	4	1	46	51	7
Guelph	8	3	4	1	40	31	7
Ryerson	7	3	4	0	32	53	6
Windsor	4	2	0	2	23	11	6
Toronto	2	2	0	0	15	3	4
Western	3	2	1	0	18	13	4
Queen's	7	1	1	1	36	3	3
R.M.C.	9	1	0	0	34	63	2
U.S. Hockey	7	0	7	0	17	44	0

Mixed Innertube Water Polo

By Dave Zivkovic

Another season of mixed Innertube water polo is upon to L.U. students for the upcoming '86 year. This year eight teams will be accepted on a first come-first served basis.

Each team should consist of a maximum of ten players and a minimum of six. Six players must be in the water at all times and at least three women will be in the water throughout the game.

The program is a tournament

with each team guaranteed at least three games (divisional format). The regular season starts January 5, 1986 and runs until January 26, 1986 on Sundays at the L.U. pool. The playoffs are tentatively set for February 9. All equipment is supplied by the Physical Education Department except for your bathing suit.

Entry forms should include the team's name, the captain's name (his/her phone number), the names

of the remaining players, and should be submitted to the front desk at the Physical Education Building prior to December 6. Entry fee is \$15.00.

Once the captains have submitted their entry forms they will be notified of the rules of the game. Schedules will be handed out after all entry spots are filled.

Come on out and shed off those extra pounds gained during Christmas and have fun at the same time.

CIAU ALL-STARS — JUETT, ARMSTRONG



Photos by Diana Compertini

At a recent Canadian Interuniversity Athletic Association meeting, two Laurentian Voyageurs were chosen to the CIAU all-star team for soccer.

As selected by a committee of coaches representing the four athletic regions of Canada, Fred Juett and teammate Jamie Armstrong were named to the second team all-star unit.

It was the second time that Juett has been named to the all-star while Armstrong got the nomination for the first time.

Season Ends For Soccer Vees

By Ben Farella

After securing the OCAA championship title in Ottawa last weekend, Laurentian's season came to an abrupt end last Saturday.

In a hard fought contest, the Vees came out on the bottom of a 3 - 2 score, in the CIAU semi-final. The University of British Columbia Thunderbirds from Vancouver were the victors.

Striker Dave Tennant gave the Vees the lead at the 15 minute mark, when his well placed header found the mark off of a Laurentian corner-kick. UBC tied things up 10 minutes later, to bring the score 1 - 1 at the half.

The Vees took their second lead of the game when again, Tennant, who was flying all game, broke through and beat the keeper clearly. This pushed the Vees up 2 - 1, with 20 minutes remaining.

The Thunderbirds then began to push on their rain-soaked home-field and tied the score, on a goal off a corner of their own. The defending CIAU champions then took advantage of their unusually wide field by knocking the ball around well in midfield and kept pressing a tired, LU defense.

It was B.C. striker, Kevin Riley with his second goal of the game, who ended the Vees season and dreams with less than 10 minutes remaining. The Vees pressed on late but their chances were turned away by the Thunderbirds, who earned the right to host the CIAU final in Vancouver on Nov. 9.

For the Soccer Vees, Paolo Toscano provided excellent goal-keeping with key saves throughout the match and Cam Bowen was a pillar of strength on defence all day, at his new home on left back. Dave Tennant, was dangerous offensively for the entire ninety minutes and deserves special mention as well.

All in all, the Vees lost a tough battle which could have gone either way, to a hungry, aggressive U.B.C. team. In accordance to the ways of sport, there always has to be a loser and it was the Vees who took that unfortunate role, this time.

The Vees place their sights optimistically on next season, with nearly all the players returning.

Only one player is definitely not returning. The Vees bid a fond farewell to 4th year veteran, midfielder Marc LeBourhis and wish him all the best in his future.

It was a very successful year for the soccer Vees. They came from the depths of adversity and a 3 - 5 record to become champions of Ontario and earned a place in the C.I.A.U. semi-final. With this in mind and memory, the Vees certainly have built the character for a great team next year and a run for yet another title.

UPCOMING EVENTS

HOCKEY LU at Windsor, Saturday, November 16th, 7:30 p.m.
LU at Windsor, Sunday, November 17th, 3:30 p.m.

BASKETBALL — MEN LU at R.M.C., Friday, November 15th, 8 p.m.
LU at Queen's, Saturday, November 16th, 8 p.m.

BASKETBALL — WOMEN LU at Queen's, Saturday, November 16th, 6 p.m.

MBA Co-op



McMASTER UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Business

COMBINE EARNING WITH LEARNING IN OUR WORK/STUDY M.B.A., and PARTICIPATE IN:

- Graduate business education alternating, in four month blocks, with paid work experience
- Four academic semesters at McMaster
- Three paid work terms with a choice of Canadian employers
- Camaraderie with other highly motivated, carefully selected students
- Individual and seminar support with your career development and job search concerns
- LEARNING in the classroom and on the job which will assist you to obtain immediate, meaningful employment upon graduation
- PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT which will enable you to take control of your future

PART TIME OR FULL TIME M.B.A. STUDY?

- The McMaster M.B.A. is offered through part time or full time study also.

For information and application materials call or write:

Co-ordinator M.B.A. Co-op Program
Faculty of Business, Kenneth Taylor Hall, 104
1280 Main St. West
Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4M4
(416) 525-9140 ext. 4611

CO-OP AT McMASTER

Laurentian University, SGA and AEF in conjunction with Health Services presents: A **non-alcoholic** beverage contest

L'université Laurentienne, AEF, SGA et le Service de santé organisent conjointement un concours de recettes pour une boisson **non-alcoolisée**.

RENDEZ-VOUS



85



WIN / GAGNEZ \$100

CONTEST RULES

1. Participants must be either students or staff of Laurentian University.
2. Entry must be **non-alcoholic**.
3. Recipes must be able to be reproduced in mass quantities (i.e. punch).
4. All entries will be screened on the basis of appearance, taste, and nutrient and economic value. From all entries, six will be selected for judging December 5th, 1985, at 5:00 p.m.
5. Entries must be submitted to Health Services G-23 by November 29th, 1985, at 12:00 noon.
6. Contest will be held at 5:00 p.m. on December 5th 1985, in the Laurentian Pub.
7. Entrants will assume responsibility for plagiarism.

REGLEMENT DU CONCOURS

1. Le(a) candidat(e) doit être étudiant(e) ou employé(e) de l'université Laurentienne.
2. La recette **ne doit pas contenir d'alcool**.
3. La recette doit se faire en grande quantité et pouvoir aussi se faire en petite quantité.
4. La décision du jury sera fondée sur les critères suivant: l'apparence, le goût, la valeur nutritive et le coût. Six recettes seront choisies pour être jugées à nouveau le 5 décembre 1985 à 17 h.
5. La recette doit être soumise au bureau du Service de Santé G-23 avant midi le 29 novembre 1985.
6. Le(a) gagnant(e) sera déterminé(e) à 17 h le 5 décembre 1985 au Pub de l'université.
7. S'il y a plagiat les candidat(e)s seront tenu(e)s responsables.

ENTRY FORM FORM D'INSCRIPTION

Name
Nom _____
Address
Adresse _____
Telephone

Recipe
Recette
